

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/734,008

Applicant(s)

HEINELT ET AL.

Examiner

EBENEZER SACKEY

Art Unit

1626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EBENEZER SACKEY.

(3) Steven O'Connor.

(2) Cecilia Tsang.

(4) Eric Adcock. *ea*

Date of Interview: 1/20/04

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1-3 and 25-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record (Bondavalli et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 102 and claims 2-3 and 25-26 under 35 USC 103 have been withdrawn. Support for claims 17-19, 30-32 and 39-45 will be presented to obviate a possible enablement rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Eric Adcock
Examiner's signature, if required